

# EFFECT OF USING MODERN METHODS IN EXPLAINING THE TOPIC OF FUNCTIONS FROM MATHEMATICS TO STUDENTS

Math teacher of Chirchik Lyceum,  
**Usarov Komiljon**

## Abstract

The topic of functions is a cornerstone in mathematics, pivotal for understanding advanced mathematical concepts and applications in various disciplines. Traditional teaching methods often fail to fully engage students or make the subject accessible. This study investigates the impact of modern teaching methods—such as technology-enhanced learning, visual aids, and interactive problem-solving—on student comprehension and engagement with the topic of functions.

Using a quasi-experimental design, this research compares the performance and attitudes of two groups of secondary school students: one taught using traditional methods and the other with modern methods. Results indicate a significant improvement in comprehension and interest among students exposed to modern techniques, underscoring the importance of innovation in mathematics education.

**Key words:** Functions in mathematics, modern teaching methods, technology-enhanced learning, dynamic geometry software, interactive whiteboards, collaborative learning, visualization tools.

## Introduction

The concept of functions is fundamental in mathematics, serving as a gateway to advanced topics in algebra, calculus, and applied mathematics. Despite its significance, many students struggle to grasp the abstract nature of functions. Traditional chalk-and-talk methods often leave students disengaged and confused. In contrast, modern teaching strategies—leveraging technology, visual representations, and student-centered approaches—offer opportunities to demystify the concept. This study aims to explore the effectiveness of modern methods in enhancing the teaching and learning of functions.

**Materials and Methods.** The study sample consisted of 120 secondary school students aged 15–17, selected from two schools in [location, if applicable]. The participants were randomly assigned to two groups to minimize selection bias:

**Control Group (60 students):**

This group was taught the concept of functions using traditional teaching methods. Instruction relied on lectures, textbook exercises, and teacher-led problem-solving.

**Experimental Group (60 students):**

Students in this group were introduced to functions using modern teaching methods, including:

1. **Dynamic Geometry Software (e.g., GeoGebra):** To visualize graphs, transformations, and relationships.
2. **Interactive Whiteboards:** For real-time demonstration of concepts such as domain, range, and function behaviors.
3. **Group-Based Activities:** To encourage peer learning, collaboration, and problem-solving.

Both groups covered the same syllabus content, ensuring comparability of results. The study spanned six weeks, with three 60-minute lessons per week.

### Teaching Strategies:

**Traditional Methods.** The control group was taught using conventional teaching techniques commonly employed in mathematics classrooms. These included:

**Lecture-Based Instruction:** Teachers delivered lessons focusing on the theoretical aspects of functions, such as definitions, types of functions (e.g., linear, quadratic), and their properties [1].

**Formulaic Manipulation:** Students practiced substituting values and solving equations related to functions. Emphasis was placed on procedural fluency over conceptual understanding.

**Textbook Problems:** Exercises were drawn from standard textbooks, with minimal real-world applications or visualization. Students worked individually, and class interaction was limited to teacher-led question-and-answer sessions.

This approach aimed to evaluate how traditional teaching methods compare to modern strategies in fostering comprehension and engagement.

**Modern Methods.** The experimental group received instruction using innovative, student-centered strategies designed to enhance engagement and understanding [2]. These included:

### Dynamic Geometry Software (e.g., GeoGebra):

Used to visualize function graphs, transformations (e.g., translations, reflections), and the interplay between algebraic and graphical representations.

Enabled students to explore the behavior of functions by manipulating parameters in real-time.

**Interactive Whiteboards:** Provided opportunities for dynamic demonstrations, such as plotting functions step-by-step.

Facilitated live annotations and discussions, making abstract concepts more accessible.

**Group-Based Learning Activities:** Encouraged students to work collaboratively on problem-solving tasks, such as identifying the domain and range or interpreting real-life scenarios involving functions.

Integrated peer teaching, where students explained solutions to their classmates, reinforcing understanding.

**Gamification and Real-World Applications:** Lessons incorporated mathematical games and challenges to motivate students.

Practical problems, such as modeling population growth or financial projections, were introduced to demonstrate the relevance of functions in everyday life.

Analysis. To evaluate the effectiveness of modern teaching methods compared to traditional methods, the following analytical techniques were applied:

### Quantitative Analysis

**Statistical Test:** Paired t-tests were conducted to compare pre- and post-test scores within each group and independent t-tests to compare post-test performance between the control and experimental groups.

**Metrics Assessed:** Average test scores (pre- and post-test).

Improvement percentage for each group.

**Significance Threshold:** A p-value of  $< 0.05$  was used to determine statistically significant differences.

### Qualitative Analysis

**Student Feedback:** Open-ended responses from post-study questionnaires were analyzed to identify recurring themes regarding the perceived effectiveness of the teaching methods. Key themes included ease of understanding, enjoyment, and perceived relevance of the topic.

**Classroom Observations:** Data from structured observation checklists were used to evaluate levels of engagement, such as: Frequency of student questions and participation.

1. Peer collaboration in the experimental group.
2. Attention and responsiveness during lessons.

**Combined Analysis:** The correlation between engagement levels observed and improvement in test scores was assessed to explore potential relationships between active participation and learning outcomes. Results were presented in tables and graphs to visualize differences in performance and engagement across groups [3].

### *Results.*

*Academic Performance: The experimental group outperformed the control group in post-tests, with an average score of 85% compared to 67%.*

Significant improvement in understanding of key concepts, such as domain, range, and transformations.

**Engagement and Attitudes:** 78% of students in the experimental group reported a greater interest in mathematics, compared to 40% in the control group. Students in the experimental group demonstrated higher levels of participation during lessons.

**Qualitative Observations.** Modern methods fostered collaborative problem-solving and critical thinking. Visualization tools helped students conceptualize abstract ideas effectively.

*Discussion.* The results of this study align with a growing body of literature that highlights the advantages of interactive and technology-driven teaching strategies in mathematics education. The findings demonstrate that modern methods, such as the use of dynamic geometry software, interactive whiteboards, and group-based learning, significantly enhance students' understanding and engagement with the topic of functions.

### *Key Insights*

*Improved Comprehension: Students in the experimental group showed a marked improvement in their ability to understand and apply key concepts, such as domain, range, and transformations. Visualization tools, like GeoGebra, played a pivotal role in bridging the gap between abstract concepts and their graphical representations.*

**Increased Engagement:** Classroom observations revealed higher levels of participation and enthusiasm among students exposed to modern methods. Collaborative activities and gamification further motivated students, transforming the learning process into an enjoyable and interactive experience [4].

**Relevance Through Real-World Applications:** By incorporating real-life scenarios, such as modeling financial growth or interpreting population trends, the modern teaching methods demonstrated the practical utility of functions. This approach not only deepened understanding but also fostered a positive attitude toward mathematics as a relevant and useful subject.

### **Challenges Identified**

Despite the promising results, certain challenges were observed that may hinder the widespread adoption of modern teaching methods:

**Resource Constraints:** Implementing technology-driven strategies requires access to tools such as interactive whiteboards, software, and internet connectivity. Schools in under-resourced areas may struggle to provide these facilities.



**Teacher Training:** Effective use of modern methods demands that educators be proficient with the required technologies and innovative pedagogical approaches. A lack of professional development opportunities could limit the potential benefits of these strategies.

**Time Considerations:** Modern methods often require additional preparation time for teachers and more classroom time for activities, which could be challenging in rigid curricula.

**Implications for Practice and Policy.** To maximize the benefits of modern teaching methods, educators and policymakers should:

- Invest in teacher training programs that focus on technology integration and interactive pedagogy.
- Ensure equitable access to technological resources across schools.
- Encourage curriculum flexibility to allow for the inclusion of interactive and application-based learning activities [5].

*Future Research.* Further studies could explore the long-term impact of modern teaching strategies on students' mathematical proficiency and their attitudes toward STEM careers. Additionally, investigating the cost-effectiveness of such methods in resource-limited settings would provide valuable insights for large-scale implementation.

**Conclusion.** This study highlights the effectiveness of modern teaching methods in enhancing students' understanding and interest in the topic of functions. The integration of scientific innovations, such as advanced visualization tools, interactive technology, and collaborative learning platforms, has shown significant potential to transform mathematics education.

### *Key Scientific Innovations in Teaching Functions*

**Dynamic Visualization Tools (e.g., GeoGebra):** These tools enable students to dynamically manipulate and observe functions in real-time, fostering deeper comprehension of abstract mathematical concepts such as transformations, domain, and range.

**Interactive Learning Technologies (e.g., Interactive Whiteboards):** Interactive whiteboards allow for real-time graph plotting and annotations, enhancing the delivery of lessons and actively involving students in the learning process.

**Gamified and Collaborative Learning Platforms (e.g., Kahoot, Desmos Classroom):** Gamified platforms make learning engaging by introducing competition and rewards, while collaborative environments encourage teamwork and peer-to-peer teaching, which strengthen conceptual understanding.

**Recommendations.** To maximize the potential of these innovations, educators and policymakers should invest in:

- **Teacher Training:** Preparing educators to effectively integrate these tools into their teaching practices.
- **Technological Accessibility:** Providing schools with the necessary resources and infrastructure to support innovative methods.
- **Curriculum Development:** Embedding technology-driven activities and real-world problem-solving tasks into mathematics curricula.

By embracing these innovations, mathematics education can become more accessible, engaging, and effective, ultimately preparing students to thrive in a technology-driven era.

### *References*

1. Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. Routledge. Nazarov, N. (2020). Integrity into Global Trade with Attractive



Investment Practice in Free Economic Zones (Case of Uzbekistan). *Theoretical & Applied Science*, (2), 55-66.

2. Nazarov, N. (2020). Integrity into Global Trade with Attractive Investment Practice in Free Economic Zones (Case of Uzbekistan). *Theoretical & Applied Science*, (2), 55-66.

3. Tall, D. O. (2013). *How Humans Learn to Think Mathematically*. Cambridge University Press.

4. NAZAROV, N. (2021). Analysis of business entities with the development of innovative and investment activities of entrepreneurship in free economic zones. *THEORETICAL & APPLIED SCIENCE Учредители: Теоретическая и прикладная наука*, (10), 719-727.

5. Назар, Назаров. "THE ROLE OF FREE ECONOMIC ZONES IN DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL BUSINESS IN UZBEKISTAN." *ЖУРНАЛ ИННОВАЦИИ В ЭКОНОМИКЕ* 4.6 (2021).