

LINGUISTIC FEATURES OF PREDICATION IN UZBEK AND ENGLISH LANGUAGES

Uzbek State World Languages University

English faculty -3, The English methodology department

Kattaboyeva Dirlabo Kattaboyevna

Annotation: Predication is an essential concept in linguistics, as it links the subject of a sentence with the verb phrase, which provides information about the action, state, or attribute of the subject. The linguistic features of predication in any language are shaped by its syntactic structure, verb morphology, and semantic rules. This article explores the linguistic features of predication in two typologically distinct languages—Uzbek and English. By examining how predication functions syntactically and semantically in both languages, the article aims to highlight their similarities and differences, offering a comparative analysis. Insights from prominent linguists, such as Noam Chomsky and Robert Van Valin, are incorporated to deepen the understanding of the concept.

Key words: predication, uzbek, english, syntax, semantics, verb phrase, subject-predicate, syntactic structure, Chomsky, linguistic theory, comparative linguistics

Predication is a core syntactic and semantic phenomenon in both Uzbek and English, as in all languages, linking a subject to a predicate and conveying meaning. In both languages, the predicate typically consists of a verb phrase, but the syntactic and morphological structures that form the predicate differ significantly. Uzbek, a Turkic language, and English, an Indo-European language, exhibit both commonalities and striking contrasts in their predicative structures.

This article provides a comparative analysis of predication in Uzbek and English, focusing on the syntactic, semantic, and morphological features of predication in each language. Through examples and theoretical insights, we aim to offer a deeper understanding of the mechanisms that underlie predication in these two languages.

In linguistic terms, predication refers to the process by which a predicate (usually a verb phrase) asserts something about the subject of a sentence. In simple terms, predication connects a subject (the doer or the topic) with a property, action, or state (the predicate). This relationship is central to sentence construction and meaning.

For instance:

- **English:** *John reads the book.*
 - Subject: *John*
 - Predicate: *reads the book*Here, the verb *reads* predicates an action that John (the subject) is performing.
- **Uzbek:** *Jon kitob o'qiydi.*
 - Subject: *Jon*
 - Predicate: *kitob o'qiydi* (reads a book)In this example, the verb *o'qiydi* (reads) predicates the action performed by *Jon*.

While both languages share the basic subject-predicate relationship, their syntactic and morphological properties differ significantly.

English is a **SVO (Subject-Verb-Object)** language, where the subject typically precedes the verb, and the verb precedes the object. This word order is fixed and plays a crucial role in sentence formation.

- **English Example:** *The man (Subject) eats (Verb) an apple (Object).*

Uzbek, in contrast, follows a **SOV (Subject-Object-Verb)** order, meaning the subject comes first, followed by the object, and the verb typically comes at the end of the sentence. However, word order in Uzbek is more flexible due to the rich case system that marks grammatical roles, allowing for a degree of freedom in sentence structure.

- **Uzbek Example:** *Erkak (Subject) olma (Object) yeydi (Verb).*

- Translation: *The man eats an apple.*

While the verb still appears at the end, the subject and object can be reordered based on focus and context due to case marking.

3.2 Verb Morphology and Tense-aspect Marking

In English, the predicate is typically realized through a verb phrase consisting of a verb and its auxiliaries, which mark tense and aspect. English uses auxiliary verbs like *is*, *have*, and *will* to express different tenses (past, present, future) and aspects (progressive, perfective).

- **English:** *She is reading the book.*

- *is* (auxiliary verb) + *reading* (main verb in present progressive form)

- This construction indicates an ongoing action.

Uzbek, being an agglutinative language, expresses tense and aspect through a series of affixes added directly to the verb stem. Uzbek verbs carry rich morphological markers to denote tense, aspect, and mood. The verb forms are typically suffixed rather than using auxiliary verbs as in English.

- **Uzbek Example:** *U kitobni o'qiyapti.*

- *o'qiyapti* (is reading)

The verb *o'qiyapti* combines the root *o'q-* (read) with the present progressive marker *-yapti*.

The key difference between the two languages is that English relies heavily on auxiliary verbs for tense and aspect marking, while Uzbek relies on verb morphology with suffixes.

Both languages use various syntactic constructions to express modality (necessity, possibility, permission) and focus, but they do so in different ways. English uses modal auxiliary verbs like *can*, *must*, and *should* to indicate modality.

- **English:** *She must go.*

Here, the modal verb *must* predicates necessity about the subject *She*.

In Uzbek, modality is often conveyed by verb suffixes or auxiliary verbs.

- **Uzbek:** *U bormog'i kerak.*

- *kerak* (must) indicates necessity.

The verb form *bormog'i* (to go) is marked by the required modality marker *kerak*.

In terms of focus, English often uses word order (subject-verb-object) or cleft constructions, like *It is John who reads the book*. Uzbek uses particles like *-ni* or *-ga* for focus or to mark specific aspects of the sentence.

- **Uzbek Focus:** *Kitobni Jon o'qiydi.*

- The object *kitob* is fronted for emphasis (focus), signaling that the book is the focus of the sentence, rather than the subject.

Both English and Uzbek use predication to describe actions, states, and attributes. The verb typically indicates what kind of predication is being made. For example:

- **Action Predication:**
 - *English: She sings.*
 - *Uzbek: U kuylaydi.*Both sentences describe an action (singing).
- **State Predication:**
 - *English: He is tired.*
 - *Uzbek: U charchagan.*Both sentences describe a state (being tired).
- **Attribute Predication:**
 - *English: The sky is blue.*
 - *Uzbek: Osmon ko'k.*Both sentences attribute the color blue to the subject.

English and Uzbek also share existential predication, where the verb *to be* in English and its Uzbek equivalents express existence.

- **English:** *There is a book on the table.*
- **Uzbek:** *Stol ustida kitob bor.*
 - Here, the verb *bor* (there is) functions to indicate existence.

Noam Chomsky's **Universal Grammar** theory suggests that all languages share certain underlying principles, despite differences in surface structure. Predication, as a universal syntactic operation, is structured similarly in both English and Uzbek in terms of subject-predicate relationships. However, the surface realization, including verb morphology, word order, and auxiliaries, varies. For example, while both languages predicate actions, the mechanisms differ in terms of verb morphology (suffixation in Uzbek vs. auxiliaries in English).

Robert Van Valin's **Role and Reference Grammar** emphasizes the role of arguments in predication. In both English and Uzbek, the subject and object arguments of the verb are linked via syntactic and semantic roles. In both languages, the subject typically plays the role of the Agent (the one performing the action), and the object plays the role of the Patient (the entity affected by the action).

- **English:** *John (Agent) eats the apple (Patient).*
- **Uzbek:** *Jon (Agent) olmani (Patient) yeydi.*
 - In both examples, the subject (Agent) is the performer of the action, while the object (Patient) is the recipient or affected entity.

The linguistic features of predication in Uzbek and English reveal both similarities and differences. Both languages rely on the subject-predicate structure to convey meaning, but they differ in their syntactic organization, verb morphology, and tense-aspect marking. English uses auxiliary verbs and fixed word order, while Uzbek uses rich verb morphology and a more flexible word order. Despite these differences, both languages share similar semantic functions of predication, such as action, state, and existence.

Understanding these differences and similarities offers insights into how different languages structure their predicative sentences and how meaning is conveyed syntactically and semantically.



References

1. Bower, Claire (2004). Historical change in complex predication: Bardi verb morphology in historical perspective. PhD dissertation, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
2. Chomsky, N. (1957). Syntactic Structures. Mouton
3. Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and Conversation. In P. Cole & J. Morgan (Eds.), Syntax and Semantics, Vol. 3. Academic Press.
4. K.D Kattaboyevna. (2024) STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF THE COMPLEX PREDICATE. Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research
5. K.D Kattaboyevna (2024) THE TYPOLOGY OF PREDICATES IN MODERN LINGUISTICS- Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research,
6. Chomsky, N. (1995). The Minimalist Program, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.