

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ARGOT (ON THE MATERIAL OF THE UZBEK AND ENGLISH LANGUAGES)

Termiz iqtisodiyot va servis universiteti

2-bosqich magistranti

Kurbanova Shoira Abduraximovna

Abstract: The article is devoted to the study of Comparative analysis of argot of the Uzbek and English argot on the material of somatic vocabulary. During the investigation, the types of motivation that prevail within this layer of the vocabulary were identified, the somatic components (foot, hand, head, hair, eyes, nose, ears, mouth, face, fingers, brain), characterizing each of the language subsystems, were revealed. The results showed that somatisms are actively involved in the derivational processes of both languages. It was determined that in the Uzbek and English argot there is a high frequency of the use of argotic units with such components as leg, hand and head. Also, somatic components are actively involved in the creation of long synonymic chains which confirms the anthropocentric worldview of the representatives of the underworld.

Key words: argot, argotic unit, motivation, somatism, somatic code, criminal subculture and others.

СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ АРГО (НА МАТЕРИАЛЕ УЗБЕКСКОГО И АНГЛИЙСКОГО ЯЗЫКОВ)

Аннотация: Статья посвящена изучению сопоставительного анализа аргот узбекского и английского аргот на материале соматической лексики. В ходе исследования были выявлены типы мотивации, преобладающие в пределах данного пласта лексики, выявлены соматические компоненты (нога, рука, голова, волосы, глаза, нос, уши, рот, лицо, пальцы, мозг), характеризующие каждую из языковых подсистем. Результаты показали, что соматизмы активно участвуют в деривационных процессах обоих языков. Определено, что в узбекском и английском аргот наблюдается высокая частотность использования арготических единиц с такими компонентами, как нога, рука и голова. Также соматические компоненты активно участвуют в создании длинных синонимических цепочек, что подтверждает антропоцентрическое мировоззрение представителей преступного мира.

Ключевые слова: аргот, арготическая единица, мотивация, соматизм, соматический код, криминальная субкультура и другие.

ARGO SO'ZLARNING QIYOSIY TAHLILI (O'ZBEK VA INGLIZ TILI MATERIALLARI BO'YICHA)

Annotatsiya: Maqola somatik lug'at materialini bo'yicha o'zbek va ingliz argo so'zlarining qiyosiy tahlilini o'rganishga bag'ishlangan. Tekshiruv davomida lug'atning ushbu qatlamida



ustunlik qiladigan motivatsiya turlari aniqlandi, har bir til quyi tizimlarini tavsiflovchi somatik komponentlar (oyoq, qo'l, bosh, soch, ko'z, burun, quloq, og'iz, yuz, barmoqlar, miya) aniqlandi. Natijalar shuni ko'rsatdiki, somatizmlar ikkala tilning derivativ jarayonlarida faol ishtirok etadi. O'zbek va ingliz tillarida oyoq, qo'l, bosh kabi komponentli argotik birliklarning qo'llanishi ko'pligi aniqlandi. Shuningdek, somatik komponentlar yer osti dunyosi vakillarining antropotsentrik dunyoqarashini tasdiqlovchi uzun sinonimik zanjirlarni yaratishda faol ishtirok etadi.

Kalit so'zlar: argo, argotik birlik, motivatsiya, somatizm, somatik kod, jinoiy subkultura va boshqalar.

Introduction

Comparative analysis of substandard systems of languages of different structures, such as Uzbek and English, is of considerable interest, since lexical units, belonging to them, accumulate various facts of subcultures, reflect specific phenomena, features of the worldview and mentality of linguistic communities. In the substandard vocabulary, including argot, the uniqueness of the way of life and culture of the particular people is clearly manifested.

Being an evolving system, argot constantly enriches its vocabulary, conceptualizing the knowledge of representatives of the criminal subculture. Due to the anthropocentric nature of argot, the names of body parts play an important role in the conceptualization of a person's experience. Modern studies show that it is the part of the vocabulary related to the ancient layer of the language that is widely used to create words with abstract semantics and is actively involved in derivational processes [1], [2], [3], [7].

The purpose of the research is to examine the specifics of the motivation of Uzbek and English argotic units on the basis of somatisms. To achieve it we used the dictionaries of argot: Grachev M.A. "Dictionary of the Thousand-Year Uzbek Slang" [4] and T. Danzell "The Concise New Partridge Dictionary of Slang and Unconventional English" [8].

Method

Over 600 relevant examples of somatisms were collected on the basis of the argot dictionaries of the Uzbek and English languages by using the method of continuous sampling. To determine their motivation and to classify them, semantic analysis, as well as comparative analysis was used.

Discussion

The problem of reflecting reality in language is the basis for studying the motivation of a derivative word [5]. Motivation clearly expresses the main epistemological function of the word – the linguistic fixation of knowledge. In addition, motivation introduces the name into the language system as a linguistic unit. Motivation develops a linguistic unit and actualizes it in the process of functioning [10, P. 291].

Motivation is understood as the relationship between the signified and the signifier in a linguistic sign or the relationship between signs, explaining the use of a sign as a name for some entity [9].

It should be noted that the prevailing number of argotic units have a certain motivation. The stimulus for updating the argotic vocabulary is the emergence of realia for which there is no special name in the language and the obsolescence of an argotic unit or its transition to other categories of the vocabulary, for example, slang and then even standard language.

Language nomination is based on the choice of a feature that explains the name. This feature is called motivating. The specificity of argot, used within the criminal subculture, is achieved



by the choice of special features that characterize the phenomena of the surrounding reality [6]. The choice of a motivating feature is an extra-linguistic aspect, associated with the cognitive activity of a representative of the criminal world.

There are three types of motivation in linguistics: phonological, structural, semantic [10, P. 297].

Phonetic motivation is practically not inherent in the argot of the languages under consideration. In Uzbek and English argot, lexemes of the literary layer, based on onomatopoeia, are rethought and receive new designations. For example, Uzbek argotic unit ku-ku means an informant (arg. ku-kulamoq, i.e. to make the sounds of a cuckoo; figurative meaning is to inform) or the English lexeme boo-yakka means to shoot (the imitation of the sounds of a shot). However, the examples given are motivated not only phonetically, but also semantically.

Most argotic units are structurally or semantically motivated. In this regard, the category of argotic somatic units /units with a somatic component is especially representative. By somatism we understand the name of the parts of the human body. This type of vocabulary belongs to the most ancient layer of language and is distinguished by a high frequency of use, lots of connotative meanings, and high word-forming productivity. For example, qo'llar yuqoriga – raise your hands up, an eye – a passport; brain surgeon – a poker player who over-analyzes every situation, button your lip – to stop talking.

Obviously, for all languages of the world, the somatic code of culture is recognized as universal, which is explained by the desire of a person to discover the world around him, projecting onto him and society the specifics of the structure of his own body and the functional characteristics of its parts. It is essential for a man to correlate his orientation in space and the assessment of the environment with parts of his body. However, the proportion of the somatic cultural code among different peoples is nationally and culturally determined.

Results

The results of the research are given in the table below.

Table 1 – Frequency of usage of argotic units with somatic component

Somatism	Number, Uzbek argot	Number, English argot
leg	50	45
hand	45	51
head	44	39
hair	37	18
eyes	29	34
nose	27	26
ears	27	18
mouth	21	25
face	15	12
fingers/toes	13	33
brain	7	31

The study of argotic units with somatism revealed the following body parts that act as the nuclear components of words and set expressions of the somatic linguocultural code: leg: oyoqni qo'lg'a olmoq – to run away/ dead-leg – a useless person; hand: qo'li egri – to misbehave / chalk hand – in poker, a hand that is almost certain to win; head: boshini olmoq – to stab smb./old head – an older prisoner; hair: tukli – a suspicious for professional criminals person, who communicates with them/ hairbagger – an experienced police officer; eyes: ko'zi joyida emas – an informan/ eye in the sky – a police helicopter; nose: burundan qon ketish – a face/ freeze your nose – to use cocaine; ears: quloqlari ding – to hit hard/ on the earie – alert, informed; mouth: og'zingni yop – not a sound!/ cotton mouth – a dryness of the mouth as a result of smoking marijuana; face: yuzidan ma'lum – to commit a crime/ bagel face – a Jewish person; fingers/toes: barmoqlaringizni buking – to behave like an experienced criminal/ stiff-toe gang – the dead, finger a collar – to make an arrest; brain: miyyasining tutini chiqmoq – to lie/ brain screw – a prison psychological counselor.

The examples show that users of the Uzbek and English argot, most often appeal to the images of the legs, hands or a head. The least frequent in the Uzbek argot are the units with somatism brain, however, in English, on the contrary, this somatism is productive. Somatism face is rarely used in the English argot. Also, in addition to the examples listed in the table, we noted somatisms denoting heart, bones, skin, back, tongue, genitals, but to a much lesser extent.

Somatic components are a strong motivating basis for argotic units that characterize a person. The importance of the head as a part of the human body that contains the brain, organs of vision, smell and hearing is manifested in a significant number of the argotic units with components-somatisms (hair, eye, nose, ear), both in the Uzbek and English argot.

The component “head” is used to characterize a person in both languages. The lexeme “head” itself is a part of combinations of different structures and lexical and semantic features. At the same time, it is mainly used to convey the concept of “mental activity”. Thus, argotic units can denote the mental abilities of a person: kallasi yo'q – a stupid person or boshini yo'g' bosgan – an intelligent person. In the above-mentioned examples, the figurative meanings of argotic units are realized, i.e. there is a comparison of the head with a “tree”, an object without feelings and the mind, or a receptacle of the mind.

In the English language, the argotic units with somatism head are used to convey certain states of a person: juicehead – an alcoholic, debthead – a prisoner who is continually in debt. These units have a similar structure (a combination of conventional “juice” (reinterpreted in argot as alcohol) or “debt” with head (a person considered as a single attribute), which allows us to deduce the figurative meaning.

It should be mentioned that a specific characteristic of argotic units with a somatic component of both languages is the synonymic chains: oyog'ini qo'liga olmoq/ to'da boshi / o'tirib qolmoq – to run away; cottonhead/ crackhead/ cube head – a drug addict.

Conclusion

The research has shown that argotic units, which include somatisms, reflect the specifics of the worldview of representatives of the underworld due to a wide range of images embedded in their internal form. Thus, motivational relationships in argot are realized. The most common somatisms in both languages are leg, hand, head, hair, eyes, nose, ears, mouth, face, fingers, brain. They are part of argotic units, which can be different in their structure and lexical and semantic content and, due to rethinking, are actively involved in the formation of



new units. A large number of lexemes with somatisms testifies to the anthropocentric worldview of representatives of the criminal subculture.

References

1. Averina M.A. Somatizm kak komponent frazeologicheskoy edinicy russkogo i anglijskogo yazykov [Somatism as a component of the phraseological unit in Uzbek and English] / M.A. Averina. E. I Boldyreva / Bulletin of the modern science and education. – Tambov: Gramota, 2013. № 6 (73). – P. 11-13.
2. Bashkatova Yu. A. Kul’turnyj smysl somatizmov (na materiale anglijskogo i russkogo yazykov) [Cultural meaning of body parts names (in the English and Uzbek languages)] /Yu.A.Bashkatova // Bulletin of the Kemerovo State University. – 2012. – № 4 (52). Vol. 3. – P. 58–61.
3. Bogus Z. A. Somatizmy v raznosistemnyh yazykah: semantiko-slovoobrazovatel’nyj i lingvokul’turologicheskij aspekty : na materiale russkogo, adygejskogo i anglijskogo yazykov [Somatisms in the languages with different systems: semantic-derivational and linguo-culturologic aspects]: dis. ... of PhD in Philology: 10.02.02, 10.02.20 defense of the thesis 25.12.06: approved 13.04.07 /Bogus Zamira Aslanovna. – Majkop, 2006. – 222 p.
4. Chumicheva T. S. Somaticheskie frazeologizmy v britanskom i amerikanskom variantah anglijskogo yazyka (sopostavitel’nyj aspekt) [Somatic phraseological units in the British and American variants of the English language]/ T.S. Chumicheva // Bulletin of the Kostroma State University. – 2010. – № 1. – P. 118-212. [in Uzbek]
5. Dalzell T. The Concise New Partridge Dictionary of Slang and Unconventional English / T.Dalzell, T. Victor. – Routledge, 2007. –740 p.
1. Djalilova, Z. O. (2021). Studies on gender linguistics in the field of Uzbek language. Academic research in educational sciences, 2(3), 391-397.
2. Fayzulloyevna, N. M. (2023). LINGUISTIC STATUS OF PROFESSIONAL JARGONISMS. International Journal Of Literature AndLanguages, 3(03), 17-23
6. Grachev M.A. Slovar’ tysyacheletnego russkogo argo [Dictionary of the Thousand-year Uzbek Slang] / M.A. Grachev. – M., RIPOL-Klassik Publ., 2003. –1320 p. [in Uzbek]
7. Habeeb M. Areef. Arbitrariness: the first principle of linguistic sign/ Areef Habeeb M. // JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC RESEARCH FOR MULTIDISCIPLINARY. – 2016. –Volume 4. – P. 91-103.
3. Hasanovna, D. M. (2019). VARIABILITY OF ASPECTUAL MEANINGS IN ENGLISH. European Journal of Research and Reflection in Educational Sciences, 7.
8. Sidorova T. A. Tipologiya motivirovannosti slova v kognitivnom aspekte [Motivation word typology in cognitive aspect] / T.A. Sidorova // Actual problems of philology and pedagogical linguistics. – 2016. – № 4. – P.65-72.
9. Uskova A. I. Status argo v anglijskom yazyke i hudozhestvennoj rechi [Status of the argot in the English language and a literary speech]: dis. ... of PhD in Philology: 10.02.04 defense of the thesis 20.10.14: approved 16.03.15/ Uskova Anna Igorevna. Voronezh, 2014. – 174 p.