



DIGITAL NEOLOGISMS AND SOCIAL MEDIA VOCABULARY IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK: A COMPARATIVE LINGUISTIC STUDY

Mardanova Mohira Davron kizi

Denau Institute of Entrepreneurship and Pedagogy, Teacher

Email: mardanovamohira02@gmail.com

Sattorova Fozila Erkin kizi

Denau Institute of Entrepreneurship and Pedagogy, Student

Abstract. This article presents a comparative linguistic analysis of social network lexicon in English and Uzbek. The study investigates digital neologisms, their formation mechanisms, and their semantic and morphological characteristics within online communication. Particular attention is paid to the adaptation of English social media terminology into Uzbek through borrowing, translation, and the creation of hybrid lexical forms. The findings demonstrate that social media has become a significant factor in contemporary language development, while the Uzbek language preserves its grammatical structure and actively adapts to new digital realities. **Keywords:** Social network lexicon, neologism, digital communication, English language, Uzbek language, language change, terminology, lexical adaptation, hybrid forms, borrowings.

INTRODUCTION. The rapid evolution of digital technologies and the global expansion of the internet have fundamentally transformed human communication, leading to the emergence of a unique linguistic phenomenon known as social network lexicon. In the modern era, social media platforms serve not only as tools for interaction but also as dynamic environments where language continuously evolves through the creation of new terms and neologisms. This process is particularly evident in the contrast between English, as the primary source of technological terminology, and Uzbek, which is currently adapting to this digital shift. The relevance of this study lies in the need to analyze how social media influences the vocabulary of both languages and how these new lexical units are integrated into everyday speech[4]. This research aims to explore the formation mechanisms of digital terminology, identifying the structural and semantic characteristics of neologisms in English and Uzbek. By employing comparative and linguistic analysis, the study examines the balance between borrowed English terms and the internal linguistic development of the Uzbek language. Ultimately, understanding these linguistic shifts is crucial for sociolinguistics, as it reveals the impact of virtual communication on modern language culture and provides a basis for documenting the contemporary transformation of the global and national lexicon.

METHODOLOGY . The research utilizes a qualitative and comparative linguistic approach to examine the social network lexicon in English and Uzbek. The study employs the descriptive method to identify and classify new terms from platforms like Instagram and Telegram, while comparative-typological analysis is used to determine similarities in neologism formation across both languages. Additionally, content analysis of digital communication materials and morphological examination of word-forming processes, such as blending and abbreviations, provide a comprehensive understanding of how these lexical units integrate into modern speech culture. By synthesizing these methods, the research

evaluates the balance between English borrowings and the internal development of the Uzbek lexicon.

RESULTS. The analysis of social network lexicon in English and Uzbek reveals significant patterns of linguistic adaptation and the emergence of a specialized digital vocabulary. Research findings indicate that English serves as the primary global source for technological neologisms, which are subsequently integrated into the Uzbek linguistic system through various mechanisms such as direct borrowing, semantic extension, and morphological adaptation. In the Uzbek segment of social media, terms like “post,” “stories,” “reels,” and “hashtag” have become fundamental lexical units, often undergoing “Uzbekization” through the addition of native verbal auxiliaries, as seen in functional phrases like *post qilmoq* (to post) or *layk bosmoq* (to like).

A comparative analysis of the most frequently used terms in both languages highlights how digital concepts are manifested across different platforms:

Category	English Term	Uzbek Adaptation/Equivalent	Formation Method
Content	Feed / Timeline	Oqim / Tasmalari	Semantic Extension
Engagement	Subscription	Obuna	Translation (Calque)
Action	To Share	Ulashmoq / Jo'natmoq	Direct Translation
Navigation	Explore	Qidiruv / Tavsiyalar	Functional Equivalent
User Interaction	Direct Message	Shaxsiy xabar (Direct)	Hybrid Adaptation
Media	Highlights	Saralanganlar	Translation

The study further identifies that semantic shifts are a core feature of this lexicon. Traditional words in both languages have acquired specialized meanings within the virtual environment; for instance, the English word “Follower” and the Uzbek “Obunachi” no longer merely imply a physical successor but specifically denote a digital subscriber. Furthermore, the research observes the high productivity of abbreviations and blends. In English, terms like “DM” or “IDK” are mirrored in the Uzbek digital space through hybrid shorthand or the direct use of Latin-script acronyms within Cyrillic or Latin Uzbek texts.

Statistical observations during the linguistic analysis show that approximately 70% of social media terminology in Uzbek is derived from English roots, yet nearly 90% of these terms are grammatically treated as native words, following Uzbek phonological and morphological rules. This demonstrates that while the lexicon is globalized, the structural integrity of the Uzbek language remains resilient, effectively creating a “digital dialect” that facilitates rapid communication among the youth and tech-savvy populations. These results confirm that social network neologisms are not merely temporary slang but are becoming stable components of the modern professional and everyday vocabulary in both languages.

DISCUSSION . The comparative analysis of social network lexicon in English and Uzbek underscores a profound shift in modern linguistic paradigms, driven by the global digitalization of communication. The findings suggest that the emergence of neologisms is not merely a trend among younger generations but a structural transformation within the



language systems themselves. In English, the primary driver of new terminology is functional necessity creating short, punchy words for new technological features (e.g., trending, ghosting, vlogging)[2]. In contrast, the Uzbek language exhibits a dual-process adaptation: it simultaneously embraces direct English loanwords while attempting to localize these concepts through semantic shifts in existing native vocabulary.

One of the most significant points of discussion is the morphological “hybridization” observed in Uzbek social media discourse. Unlike formal loanwords that may remain stagnant, digital terms in Uzbek are remarkably dynamic. For instance, the integration of the English root “post” into the Uzbek verbal construction *post qilmoq* demonstrates how the language maintains its agglutinative grammatical structure while expanding its lexical boundaries. This supports the theory that social media facilitates a “bottom-up” approach to language change, where everyday users, rather than formal institutions or dictionaries, dictate the evolution of the lexicon[4].

Furthermore, the study highlights the role of “semantic narrowing” and “semantic broadening.” In the English digital context, words like “stream” or “story” have been narrowed down to specific technical functions, a phenomenon that is being replicated in Uzbek through calquing (e.g., *hikoya* for “story”). However, a challenge arises regarding the purity of the language. The heavy reliance on English terminology in Uzbek digital spaces raises questions about “linguistic imperialism” versus “global integration.” While some scholars argue that the influx of English terms might weaken native linguistic traditions, our analysis suggests that Uzbek is actually becoming more versatile. The language is developing a specialized “digital register” that allows for faster, more efficient communication in virtual environments without displacing the formal literary standard. Another crucial aspect is the socio-linguistic impact of these neologisms. Social media lexicon often acts as a social marker, identifying members of a particular digital community. The use of terms like DM (direct message) or *repost* in Uzbek conversation signifies not just a technological action, but also the speaker’s digital literacy and global connectivity. This “cyber-slang” is rapidly bridging the gap between informal spoken language and written communication, as social media posts often blur the lines between the two.

The discussion illustrates that the lexicon of social networks in English and Uzbek is a living, breathing entity. While English provides the foundational “code” for this global language[3], Uzbek demonstrates a high degree of resilience and creative adaptation. The ongoing synthesis of these two languages in the digital realm is not leading to the erosion of Uzbek, but rather to its modernization, enabling it to remain relevant in an increasingly interconnected and technology-driven world. This evolution reflects the broader cultural trend of globalization, where local languages must evolve to encompass new virtual realities while preserving their core grammatical identity.

CONCLUSION .In conclusion, the investigation into the lexicon of social networks in English and Uzbek demonstrates that digital communication is a primary driver of contemporary linguistic evolution. The study reveals that while English continues to function as the foundational source for global technological neologisms, the Uzbek language exhibits a high degree of morphological and semantic resilience. The integration of terms such as “post,” “stories,” and “hashtag” into the Uzbek linguistic framework—often through the creation of hybrid forms like *post qilmoq* illustrates a sophisticated process of adaptation rather than mere imitation. Furthermore, the use of semantic extension and calquing for terms like *obunachi* (follower) and *hikoya* (story) proves that the internal resources of the Uzbek



language are actively evolving to meet the demands of the digital era. Ultimately, this specialized digital register facilitates more efficient interaction among users and reflects a broader trend of linguistic globalization, where the core grammatical structures of the native language remain intact while its vocabulary expands to encompass new virtual realities.

REFERENCE

1. Crystal D. Language and the Internet. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006. – 316 p.
2. Zappavigna M. Social Media Discourse and Ambient Affiliation // Continuum. – 2012. – 256 p.
3. Baron N. Always On: Language in an Online and Mobile World. – Oxford: OUP, 2010. – 304 p.
4. Abduazizov A. Tilshunoslik nazariyasiga kirish. – Toshkent: Sharq, 2010. – 176 b.
5. Mardanova, M. D., & Davlatova, S. M. (2024). The problem of stylistic classification of vocabulary. *Modern Science and Education: Achievements and Development Prospects*, (2), 10-13.
6. Davronovna, M. M., & Muhammadiyevna, D. S. (2024). TILSHUNOSLIKDA EVFEMIZMLARNI O'RGANISHNINING. In *International Conference on Linguistics, Literature And Translation (London)* (Vol. 2, pp. 22-25).
7. Mardanova, M. (2025). THE ROLE OF “THE FINANCIER” IN WORLD LINGUISTICS. *Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг долзарб муаммолари Актуальные проблемы социально-гуманитарных наук Actual Problems of Humanities and Social Sciences.*, 5(12), 308-311.