Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education
Volume 4, Issue 3, March 2026
https://westerneuropeanstudies.com/index.php/2
ISSN (E): 2942-190X Open Access| Peer Reviewed

ARCHITECTURAL ARCHAEOLOGY AND HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING OF TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE ON THE USTYURT PLATEAU (9TH–14TH CENTURIES)

Esimkan Kanaatov
Department of Mass Media and Mass Communication of
Karakalpak State University named after Berdakh, Nukus, Uzbekistan
awada@mail.ru

Abstract
This study provides a comprehensive architectural-archaeological and hydraulic analysis of the medieval transit infrastructure preserved across the Ustyurt Plateau between the 9th and 14th centuries CE. Focusing on caravanserais, fortified outposts, watchtowers (*ding*), engineered wells (*quduq*), subterranean cisterns (*sardoba*), and communal hunting complexes (*aran* and *baylama*), this paper demonstrates how human communities engineered a durable built environment within a hyper-arid plateau environment. Moving beyond descriptive site surveys, the research analyzes the structural adaptation of limestone masonry, spatial positioning along seasonal drainage basins (*takyr*), and the functional synergy between trade logistics and pastoral resource management. The findings establish that trans-Ustyurt communications relied upon an institutionalized, highly sophisticated engineering network that sustained trans-Eurasian trade routes connecting Khwarezm with the Volga region and the Caspian littoral.
Keywords: Ustyurt Plateau, architectural archaeology, hydraulic engineering, sardoba, caravanserais, arans, Silk Road logistics, medieval infrastructure.

Introduction

The Ustyurt Plateau, a high arid tableland elevated between the Aral and Caspian Seas, presents one of the most challenging geographical environments for long-distance human movement in Central Asia. Characterized by extreme continental temperatures, hyper-arid conditions, minimal surface freshwater, and steep peripheral escarpments (*chinks*), the plateau required specialized technological adaptations to function as a transit corridor. Despite these environmental constraints, historical and archaeological evidence confirms that between the 9th and 14th centuries CE, Ustyurt was traversed by major overland trade routes linking the urban centers of Khwarezm with Volga Bulgaria, the Khazar Khaganate, and the Golden Horde (Rtveladze, 1999; Tolstov, 1962).

The operational survival of merchant caravans, diplomatic missions, and pastoral groups across this landscape was made possible through the construction of an extensive, institutionalized infrastructure network. Pioneering archaeological investigations led by S. P. Tolstov (1948, 1958) and expanded by V. N. Yagodin (1981, 1985, 1990) revealed that Ustyurt is covered by a sophisticated spatial system of stone-built monuments, including caravanserais, protective outposts, signal towers, water storage structures, and static hunting installations. This paper analyzes the architectural mechanics, spatial distribution, and hydraulic engineering of these transit monuments, illustrating how human technological ingenuity transformed an inhospitable plateau into a vital artery of Eurasian trade.

Caravanserai Architecture and Overland Trade Logistics

Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education
Volume 4, Issue 3, March 2026
https://westerneuropeanstudies.com/index.php/2
ISSN (E): 2942-190X Open Access| Peer Reviewed

The backbone of long-distance commercial transit across the Ustyurt Plateau was formed by stone caravanserais positioned at regular intervals corresponding to a standard day's travel (*manzil*, approximately 25 to 35 kilometers). Unlike the mud-brick caravan stations typical of the alluvial river valleys of Central Asia, Ustyurt caravanserais were constructed using locally quarried limestone blocks, providing exceptional durability against harsh plateau erosion (Yagodin, 1985, 1990).

A prime example of this architectural tradition is the Beleuli caravanserai, situated along the central trade artery crossing northern Ustyurt. Built from finely dressed limestone blocks laid with high structural precision, Beleuli featured a symmetrical, rectangular plan centered around an open inner courtyard. The perimeter was enclosed by thick defensive walls reinforced with corner towers, protecting merchants, animals, and high-value cargo from regional banditry and environmental hazards (Yagodin, 1985).

Key structural components of the Ustyurt caravanserais included:

- **Monumental Portals (*Iwan*):** Grand vaulted entrances facing trade corridors, often adorned with relief carvings, decorative stone framing, and epigraphic inscriptions indicating state sponsorship.
- **Vaulted Storage & Living Quarters:** Two-tier perimeter rooms providing ground-floor storage for commercial goods and upper-level sleeping chambers for merchants.
- **Central Courtyards:** Enclosed open-air spaces designed to accommodate pack animals (camels and horses) and facilitate nighttime unloading and security monitoring.
- **Integrated Fortification Elements:** Exterior wall thickness exceeding 1.5 to 2 meters, minimal exterior window openings, and elevated watch posts integrated into gatehouses.

Sites such as Beleuli, Devkesken, and Shemakha confirm that caravan stations were not casual waypoints, but institutional state assets designed to project fiscal authority, collect customs duties (*tamga*), and secure imperial trade routes under the patronage of regional powers, including the Khwarezmshahs and later the Golden Horde (Tolstov, 1962).

Hydraulic Engineering in Hyper-Arid Landscapes: Sardobas, Quduqs, and Takyrs

The primary technical bottleneck for human survival and trade operations across Ustyurt was the scarcity of freshwater. Surface rivers were non-existent, and atmospheric precipitation was low, unpredictable, and concentrated in brief seasonal bursts. To resolve this hydro-ecological challenge, medieval engineers developed an integrated water-harvesting system utilizing three complementary components: clay playas (*takyr*), subterranean cisterns (*sardoba*), and deep masonry wells (*quduq*) (Esbergenov, 2016).

The construction of subterranean cisterns (*sardoba*) represented a pinnacle of regional hydraulic technology:

1. **Catchment Selection:** Cisterns were deliberately constructed adjacent to vast, natural clay pans (*takyr*) covering tens to hundreds of hectares. The dense, impermeable clay prevented rapid rainwater infiltration, allowing surface runoff to accumulate in low-lying depressions (Esbergenov, 2016).
2. **Silt Filtration Systems:** Before entering the primary storage chamber, collected runoff was directed through a series of settling basins (*bo'zingen* sedimentation channels) where silt, sand, and organic debris settled out, ensuring water clarity.
3. **Thermal Protection Domes:** The main reservoir was excavated deep into the ground and capped with a massive brick or stone dome (*gumbaz*). This architectural design minimized water evaporation under extreme sun exposure, maintained low interior water temperatures, and prevented contamination from windborne desert dust.

Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education
Volume 4, Issue 3, March 2026
https://westerneuropeanstudies.com/index.php/2
ISSN (E): 2942-190X Open Access| Peer Reviewed

In areas lacking large *takyr* surfaces, hydraulic access depended on deep hand-dug wells (*quduq*) lined with dry-laid limestone masonry to prevent wall collapse. In local oral tradition and field observations, these water installations were occasionally conflated under regional terms such as *aran*, underscoring their shared role in supporting pastoral and trade survival (Esbergenov, 2016).

Specialized Hunting Infrastructure: Arans and Baylamas

Beyond trade logistics, the built environment of Ustyurt included massive, static hunting structures known as *aran* (arrow-shaped funnel traps) and *baylama* (cliff-wall enclosures). These stone installations were engineered to capture large herds of migratory steppe ungulates—specifically saiga antelopes, kulans (wild donkeys), and mountain arkhars—providing essential meat, hides, and commercial animal products (Amirov et al., 2015; Yagodin, 1991).

Morphological classification of *aran* complexes, established by Yagodin (1991, 1992) and augmented by recent remote sensing surveys (Amirov et al., 2015; Betts, 1998), reveals several structural types:

- **Arrow-Shaped (*Strelovidnaya*) Traps:** Consisting of two extremely long, converging limestone walls extending for hundreds of meters to several kilometers. The wide mouth funneled moving herds into a narrow neck leading to terminal pit-traps (*quduq-qopqon*) lined with stone.
- **Cliff-Conjoined Enclosures (*Baylama*):** Built directly along the upper edges of steep plateau escarpments (*chinks*). These installations utilized natural vertical drop-offs as physical barriers, connecting two cliff promontories with short, dense limestone walls to trap animals driven toward the edge.
- **Kidney-Shaped and Asymmetric Traps:** Adapted to uneven terrain, these variants utilized natural gullies and rocky outcrops to limit animal escape routes while providing concealed firing points for hunters using bows or early firearms.

Remote sensing data via high-resolution satellite imagery (Google Earth) has identified over 120 *aran* complexes spanning the Ustyurt plateau across Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan (Amirov et al., 2015). The immense labor investment required to quarry stone, transport material, and build kilometers of guide walls demonstrates that harvesting wildlife was a highly organized, communal economic enterprise rather than an informal practice.

Spatial Systems, Topography, and Material Conservation

The architectural monuments of Ustyurt did not exist as isolated structures; they formed a tightly integrated spatial ecosystem tailored to the topography of the plateau. Watchtowers (*ding*) and elevated cairns (*oba*) were strategically positioned on high cliff promontories (*burun*), providing inter-visible line-of-sight communication networks that could signal approaching threats or caravan arrivals across vast distances.

The long-term conservation of these structures presents significant archaeological challenges. Centuries of exposure to high winds, freeze-thaw cycles, and hyper-arid weathering have eroded soft limestone surfaces, causing structural degradation. Furthermore, many ancient masonry blocks were historically repurposed by local pastoralists to construct livestock corrals or grave markers (*qulftosh*). Preserving these unique monuments requires modern remote sensing monitoring, spatial mapping using Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and targeted field conservation to protect Ustyurt's architectural heritage.

Conclusion

Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education
Volume 4, Issue 3, March 2026
https://westerneuropeanstudies.com/index.php/2
ISSN (E): 2942-190X Open Access| Peer Reviewed

The architectural and hydraulic monuments of the Ustyurt Plateau demonstrate the advanced engineering capabilities of medieval communities in Central Asia. Far from being an impassable desert, Ustyurt was transformed into a functional, highly organized transit landscape through the strategic construction of limestone caravanserais, vaulted cisterns (*sardoba*), deep wells, watchtowers, and communal hunting complexes (*aran* and *baylama*). By integrating natural topographic features—such as clay *takyrs* for water collection and cliff *chinks* for defense and game traps—with durable stone architecture, medieval builders overcame hyper-arid environmental limits. This infrastructure network sustained the northern branches of the Silk Road for centuries, ensuring secure commercial, diplomatic, and cultural connections between Khwarezm, the Eurasian steppe, and Eastern Europe.

References

1. Amirov, Sh., Betts, A., & Yagodin, V. N. (2015). Mapping ancient hunting installations on the Ustyurt Plateau, Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan: New results from remote sensing imagery. *Paléorient*, 41(1), 135–149.
2. Betts, A. (1998). *The Harra and the Hamad: Excavations and surveys in Eastern Jordan*. Sheffield Academic Press.
3. Esbergenov, X. (2016). *Ustyurt platosidagi tarixiy yodgorliklar va ularning tadqiqi* [Historical monuments of the Ustyurt Plateau and their investigation]. Qoraqalpog'iston.
4. Rtveladze, E. V. (1999). *Velikiy shelkovyy put': Entsiklopedicheskiy spravochnik: Drevnost' i ranneye srednevekov'ye* [The Great Silk Road: Encyclopedic handbook: Antiquity and the early Middle Ages]. O'zbekiston milliy ensiklopediyasi.
5. Tolstov, S. P. (1948). *Po sledam drevnekhorezmiyskoy tsivilizatsii* [In the footsteps of the ancient Khwarezmian civilization]. Izdatel'stvo AN SSSR.
6. Tolstov, S. P. (1958). Raboty Khorezmskoy arxeologo-etnograficheskoy ekspeditsii AN SSSR v 1949–1953 gg. [Works of the Khwarezm Archaeological-Ethnographic Expedition of the USSR Academy of Sciences in 1949–1953]. *Trudy Khorezmskoy Arxeologo-Etnograficheskoy Ekspeditsii*, 14, 75–90.
7. Tolstov, S. P. (1962). *Po drevnim del'tam Oksa i Yaksarta* [Along the ancient deltas of the Oxus and Jaxartes]. Izdatel'stvo vostochnoy literatury.
8. Yagodin, V. N. (1981). Kamennye okhotnich'i sooruzheniya Ustyurta [Stone hunting structures of Ustyurt]. *Vestnik Karakalpakskogo Filiala Akademii Nauk UzSSR*, 3, 34–49.
9. Yagodin, V. N. (1985). *Arxeologicheskie pamyatniki Ustyurta* [Archaeological monuments of Ustyurt]. Qaraqalpaqstan.
10. Yagodin, V. N. (1990). *Drevnosti Ustyurta* [Antiquities of Ustyurt]. Bilim.
11. Yagodin, V. N. (1991). Strelovidnye planirovki Ustyurta (opyt istoriko-kul'turnoj interpretatsii) [Arrow-shaped layouts of Ustyurt (An attempt at historical-cultural interpretation)]. *Arxeologiya Priaral'ya*, 5, 5–160.
12. Yagodin, V. N. (1992). *Skotovody-oxotniki Aralo-Kaspijskogo mezhmor'ya v srednie veka* [Pastoralist-hunters of the Aral-Caspian interfluve in the Middle Ages] (Doctoral dissertation abstract). Lomonosov Moscow State University.